

Date: March 14, 2022

To: Andrew Phillips (andrew@ctabuilds.com)

From: Daniel Buker

Re: Mangini-Zaborowski Residence

The following are our responses to the structural plan review comments:

Sheet A2:

• Comment - The deck shown here as existing was submitted under expired permit 1406-251. No final inspection was called. Please include the framing plans for the deck (in its current configuration if it differs from that shown or 1406-251) and any calculations to support the design for 60 psf live load required by the 2018 residential code.

Response – The existing deck has been added to the structural plans, and supporting structural calculations are included in the calculation set dated March 14, 2022.

Sheet S2.0:

• Comment - 2/S3.2 not found. Please provide post base detail here and at each other post at the ends of this beam line 2.

Response - Post base detail callout has been revised to 2/S3.1.

Sheet S2.1:

• Comment - Show posts and sizes on each level (here and at garage separation wall).

Response – Posts and their respective sizes have been added to the structural plans.

Sheet S2.2:

• Comment - Structural calculations provided are titled Mangini-Zaborowski, but the pages behind the cover sheet are noted as "Wilson-Fashana deck" and do not correlate to this design. Please provide the correct calculation packet for building review (structural review deferred to sub2).

Response - Structural calculations have been corrected to show the design of the Mangini-Zaborowski residence. The current calculation set is dated March 14, 2022.

Sheet S1:

Comment - There are four shear walls on this plan which do not appear on the elevations
for the deck cover shown on B/A5 and C/A5. Please clarify what was actually built and
substantiate with calculations and details if it deviates from these 2014 drawings.

Response – The existing deck cover will be demolished from the project site, and thus has been removed from the drawings.



 Comment - Lateral calculations submitted for the deck cover use the existing structure for 50% of the lateral capacity in the E-W direction. Include this demand on the existing structure in the scope of work and verify the existing capacity or upgrade existing walls as necessary.

Response – The existing deck cover will be demolished from the project site, and thus has been removed from the drawings.

Sincerely,

Casey Held, PE

Daniel Buker, PE, SE, LEED AP

ample